

Oscar: First Steps to Functions

September 18, 2025



You have seen

- ▶ How to do simple things in Oscar
- ▶ How to solve mathematical problems in Oscar
- ▶ How to operate Git

So its time for the next step:

Create mathematics in Oscar
Solve your own problems!

Example

Linear algebra: you need to intersect subspaces U_i , $i = 1, 2$ of $V = \mathbb{Q}^n$.

Easy: all linear algebra, a subspace U_i is given via some (echelonized) basis, so a matrix M_i in rref.

Wait: is it the columns or the rows? Short panic, experimentally rref does row operations. So the rows are the basis.

Intersection: Some thinking later... Consider:

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} M_1 & I_r \\ M_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

with rref

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & T \end{bmatrix}$$

where A is in echelon form and has no zero row.

Sp TM_1 is a basis for the intersection!

1st attempt

```
julia> U_1 = matrix{QQ, 2, 4, [1 2 3 4; 0 1 2 3]};
julia> U_2 = matrix{QQ, 2, 4, [1 2 3 4; 0 1 3 2]};
julia> X = zero_matrix{QQ, 4, 6};
julia> for i=1:2
    for j=1:4
        X[i,j] = U_1[i,j]
    end
    X[i, i+4] = 1
end

julia> for i=1:2 for j=1:4 X[i+2, j] = U_2[i,j]; end; end
```

1st attempt

```
julia> X
```

```
[1  2  3  4  1  0]  
[0  1  2  3  0  1]  
[1  2  3  4  0  0]  
[0  1  3  2  0  0]
```

```
julia> Y = rref(X)
```

```
(4, [1 0 0 -3 0 -3; 0 1 0 5 0 3; 0 0 1 -1 0 -1; 0 0 0 0 1 0])
```

so $T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ the the intersection is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Phew! But is this what you want to do? And how you want to do it?

1st attempt - check

- ▶ It is error prone - I'll never get the indices right the 1st time
- ▶ It is hard to read: matrix magic is just that: magic

Slightly better, very slighty:

```
julia> X = [M_1 identity_matrix(QQ, n_rows(M_1)) ;  
            M_2 zero_matrix(QQ, n_rows(M_2), n_rows(M_1))];
```

Do Math!

Your problem was not to do magic with matrices, it was about intersection of subspaces.

So where are the (sub)spaces?

```
julia> V = free_module(QQ, 4);
julia> U_1, _ = sub(V, [V([1, 2, 3, 4]), V([0, 1, 2, 3])])
(Subspace over QQ with 2 generators and no relations,
 Hom: U_1 -> V)

julia> U_2, _ = sub(V, [V([1, 2, 3, 4]), V([0, 1, 3, 2])]);

julia> U_12, _ = U_1 \cap U_2
Subspace over QQ with 1 generator and no relations,
 Hom: subspace over QQ with 1 generator and no relations ->
```

Do Math!

I can't see anything, so lets relate this back

```
julia> U_12[1]      # 1 * 1st basis element  
(1)
```

```
julia> V(U_12[1]) # as an element of V  
(1, 2, 3, 4)
```

I'd argue this is much easier to read and maintain.

Similar examples can be done everywhere...

In Oscar: try to do Math!
not algorithms

2nd example

Lets multiply permutations. A permutation is just a table

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 5 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

Lets skip the 1st row: a permutation is a (dense) list of integers:

```
julia> p = [5, 3, 2, 1, 4];
```

```
julia> q = [2, 4, 3, 5, 1];
```

What about pq ? As permutations? As dot-product (Vector product)?

```
julia> function m(p::Vector{Int}, q::Vector{Int})  
    return [p[q[i]] for i=1:length(p)]  
end
```

Or was it $[q[p[i]] \text{ for } i=1:\text{length}(p)]$?

2nd example

By giving permutations a separate type I can write (overload) functions to apply only to permutations, so provide the natural context.

```
julia> G = symmetric_group(5);
```

```
julia> p = G([5, 3, 2, 1, 4]);
```

```
julia> q = G([2, 4, 3, 5, 1]);
```

```
julia> p*q  
(2,3,4)
```

Matrices are not Maps

Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \end{pmatrix}$$

This might “be” a map from $\mathbb{Q}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^4$ or from $\mathbb{Q}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^2$.

A might encode a subspace (row/ column image or kernel) or a quotient (row/column coimage or cokernel)

Or just a collection of numbers to be used elsewhere (points on a curve, indices of interesting groups, your birthday).

Matrices are useful and powerful.

They are not maps.

Matrices are not Maps

```
julia> V = free_module(QQ, 4);
```

```
julia> U = free_module(QQ, 2);
```

```
julia> phi = hom(U, V, matrix(QQ, 2, 4, [1 2 3 4; 5 6 7 8]));
```

Module homomorphism

from vector space of dimension 2 over QQ

to vector space of dimension 4 over QQ

Now the ambiguity is gone. The kernel will be a subspace of U , the image of V ,

Matrices are not Maps

```
julia> H, mH = hom(U, V)
(Vector space of dimension 8 over QQ,
 Map: H -> set of all homomorphisms from U to V)
```

```
julia> mH(H[2])
Module homomorphism
  from vector space of dimension 2 over QQ
  to vector space of dimension 4 over QQ
```

```
julia> matrix(ans)
[0  1  0  0]
[0  0  0  0]
```

Now we can do two things: use $H = \text{hom}(U, V)$ as a vector space, or use elements as maps. But semantically clearly separated

Summary

- ▶ Use mathematical (ly inspired) types.
- ▶ Make use of existing types - there are much more than you think
- ▶ Improve existing types - ask
- ▶ Follow our conventions in
 - ▶ Naming
 - ▶ Behaviour
 - ▶ Argument order
 - ▶ Formatting/ layout/ documentation
- ▶ Consider interactions
- ▶ Harness the power of maps!